**Group 4: How can we integrate standards-based curriculum into the ID4T model?**

This chapter highlights some responses to the standards movement in America and offers suggestions for educators on how to utilize the ID4T model for that approach. Standard-Based Curriculum (SBC) is put forward as a way of identifying and setting standards or goals and expectations beforehand to facilitate transparency between the educators and learners. Although the shift towards SBC has been largely motivated by politics rather than research, it is possible to integrate SBC into the ID4T model where it can assist teachers in setting goals, implementation of programs, developing and analyzing assessment methods, and making revisions.

The primary components of SBC include: **1)** Carefully prescribed content. **2)** Specific thresholds for proficient performance at all grade levels. **3)** Mandated and approved tests. **4)** Expectations linked to performance. **5)** Obligatory checks on all students’ expectations. **6)** Standards for which the outcomes are determined.

The chapter positively discusses SBC as including the integration of communities, administration and teachers as a part of standard determination. SBC allows teachers to focus and organize their curriculum and instruction to help all students meet standards with pre-identified resources. These actions promote accountability and some level of order that allows time to focus on other issues. Conversely, the chapter also states that standards-based learning (SBL) does not align with the student-oriented innovations of constructivism, user-design, or inquiry-based learning. SBC may restrict teachers in their work where the standards and the tests have further disempowered teachers and local communities.

Three primary themes include: **1)** Initiative: Standards-based learning is motivated by politics rather than research. **2)** Development: Standards are often very broad and unclear, forcing educators to teach to the test, however standards does facilitate the zeroing in on areas of strengths and weaknesses. **3)** Limitation: SBC may restrict teachers’ work, and there is limited time per class as teachers must meet the standards.

We agree there are challenges and benefits to implementing SBC. **1)** There are benefits of having common educational goals, but standardized testing does impose limits on educators. **2)** High stake tests are not authentic and teachers can become forced to teach-to-the-test. **3)** Teachers must prepare for the final test authentically, add supplemental materials and assessments in preparation. **4)** Educators have limited time and resources, thus there are concerns about the practicality of actually implementing it.

The one thing we disagreed on, like any group that gets involved in a discussion on SBC, is whether it is restricting for teachers and learners or if it`s an opportunity that holds teachers accountable for individual learner success. (should we discuss this more? or put another point?)

(move into the thought provoking question here)

Problems:

1. We need to keep it to one page, which will guarantee less than a 500 word count.
2. We need to agree on a final question.
3. More quotes/references needed. Minimum 3.

I kept the below points in case you want to reinsert them above!  
Despite some of these challenges there have been many cases of success with standards based learning. Yager (2005) presents 15 case studies of successful standards based instruction and learning in grade 9-12 science classes. He concludes by offering several areas for further development including more emphasis on teaching standards, professional development standards, assessment standards, and content and inquiry standards.   
Competency-based medical education has developed along these lines of SBC where the learning and teaching processes create a culture of all stakeholders in quality management activities at all levels and functions. Medical schools are adopting innovative strategies that engage all stakeholders to strive for excellence on a continuous basis and use quality control to support such strategies.  
Question: Something along these lines?  
Can trying to make every area equal for all be all that bad? The studies on making things equal on the basis of race, ethnicity, culture, language, social class, gender and disabilities have boomed.   
Are students not assessed on pre-approved learning goals regardless if it was SBC or not?
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